Child Protection AoR Localization Dashboard - Myanmar
## July – December 2022

Myanmar Child Protection AoR

Objective:

This dashboard has been developed for establishing a baseline to track progress of CP AoR towards localization. The dashboard will also sensitize child protection coordination group in Myanmar to issues of localization and provide the basis to create an action plan towards increasing meaningful participation of local and national actors.

Methodology:

- 34 CP AoR partners were invited to participate in a baseline survey in April 2023.
- Survey period: July – December 2022
- Survey was conducted around 5 pillars – Governance and decision making, Influence and Participation, Partnerships, Funding, Institutional capacity.

### Conceptualisation of localisation in the survey:

Q: Is there collaboration in the coordination group to collectively address the capacity issues that are faced by partners?

Q: Are partnerships between international and national organisations equal?

Q: Does funding go directly to local and national actors, or is it just going to international actors?

Q: Who makes decisions, and who is in the room when decisions are made?

Q: Who actually has power to participate in coordination and decision-making processes?

Q: Who actually has power to influence in coordination and decision-making processes?

Capacity / Funding / Participation / Influence / Governance and Decision Making / Partnerships

17 responses | **7 Local/National NGOs** | **8 INGOs** | **2 UN Agencies**

Governance and Decision Making

### Membership by different partners in CP AoR

- % from UN agencies — 21%
- % from International NGOs — 55%
- % from Local and National NGOs — 17%
- % from Other (International) — 7%

Leadership scenario in National and Subnational Level

|	Local and National Organisation	International Organisation
Sub-National Level	9%	91%
National Level		100%

Influence

The Ask: On a scale of 1 to 5, how much influence do different organizations have in the CP AoR, where, 1 = No influence, 2 = Limited influence, 3 = Moderate influence, 4 = Influential, 5 = Very highly influential

Perception of Influence Level of Different Actors According to the Actors

	Influence of Local Actors	Influence of International Actors
According to: All	2.5	3.8
According to: Local or National Organisation	2.7	3.5
According to: International NGO	2.3	4.1
According to: UN Agency	2.5	3.8

Influence Gap

	Influence of Local or National Organisation	Influence of International Organisation
	2.5 (gap 1.3)	3.8

Child Protection AoR Localization Dashboard - Myanmar

July – December 2022
Published on: 10 July 2023

Myanmar
Child
Protection AoR

Child Protection
Area of Responsibility
Global Protection Cluster

Participation

The Ask: On a scale of 1 to 5, how much do different organizations participate in CP AoR where, 1 = No participation, 2 = Limited participation, 3 = Moderate participation, 4 = High participation, 5 = Very high participation

Perception of Participation Level of Different Actors According to the Actors

Local Actors: 2.5, 2.7, 2.2, 2.5
International Actors: 3.7, 3.4, 4.1, 3.3

- All
- According to: Local or National Organisation
- According to: International NGO
- According to: UN Agency

Participation Gap

Participation of Local and National Organisation: 2.5 (gap 1.2)
Participation of International Organisation: 3.7

Funding

Around

8 in every 10

Children were reached by Local and National Actors (based on 5Ws reporting)

**Total Children reached by Local/National actors in July - Dec 2022**

186,883

Funding to Local and National NGOs, in USD

- Unknown* — 34%, 10.9M
- No further transfer to Local and National NGO — 1%, 0.3M
- Through intermediary — 65%, 20.7M
- Direct Donor to Local and National NGO

*Unknown transactions are when fund transfer was reported upto INGOs but further transaction to Local/National actors is unknown.

Partnerships

Perception of Partnership with L/NNGOs by Type of Actors

	International Actors	Local and National Actors
Access to donors	3.5	3.5
Discussing Problems	3.9	3.5
Respecting Opinions	4.2	3.4
Accounting for L/NNGO Staffs' Risks	3.8	3.3
Capacity Development of L/NNGO Staffs	3.9	3.5
Inputs into Project Design	3.5	3.4
Would Recommend Working with	4.5	3.6

- International Actors
- Local and National Actors

Quality of Partnerships

(on a scale of 1-5 across the sector)

Average, 3.3 | Room for Improvement, 1.7

87%

13 out of 15 international actors identified in the survey working with L/NNGOs

Capacity

Type of Capacity Strengthening Activities Provided to Local and National Actors by UN Agencies and INGOs

General Programmatic: 32%
Organisational or Operational: 39%
Technical Child Protection: 29%

- General Programmatic
- Organisational or Operational
- Technical Child Protection

Are UN agencies/INGOs actively approaching Local and National Actors' Staff?

Yes: 43%
No: 57%

- Yes
- No

Top Constraints of Local and National Actors

Constraint	Value
Other	0
Partnerships	2
Coordination	0
Security	3
Organisational capacity	2
Technical capacity	6
Access to the field	1
Funding	7

Access to donors = L/NNGO attends meetings with the donor, alongside UN/INGO partners (3 = Sometimes, 4 = Frequently, 5 = Always);
Discussing Problems = how comfortable L/NNGOs are to approach UN/INGO partners to discuss problems (3 = Somewhat comfortable, 4 = Quite comfortable, 5 = Very comfortable);
Respecting Opinions = UN/INGOs respect L/NNGOs partners' point of view (3 = Some respect, 4 = Respectful, 5 = Very respectful);
Accounting for L/NNGOs' risk = Risks to L/NNGO staffs' have been adequately taken into account in the partnership (3 = Moderately, 4 = Considerably, 5 = Completely);
Capacity Development of L/NNGO staffs = by UN/INGO partners (3 = Ad hoc capacity development for organisation, 4 = Occasional capacity development for organisation, 5 = Work very well on capacity development together);
Inputs into Project design = consideration of L/NNGOs partners' inputs into project design (3 = Some collaboration, but donor leads, 4 = Work together but donor/UN/INGO decides, 5 = Work together collaboratively as equals);
Would recommend = If L/NNGOs and UN/INGO partners would recommend others about each other (3 = Unsure, 4 = Likely to recommend, 5 = Very likely to recommend)